IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of: Group Art Unit: 2143

\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$ Indran Naick, et al.

Serial No.: 10/713,736 Examiner: Jude J. Jean-Gilles

Date Filed: November 13, 2003 Confirmation No.: 2704

IBM Docket No.: AUS920030776US1 Optional Receipt of an Email Title:

Attachment Atty. Docket No.: (4015)

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLACEMENT RESPONSE FOR RESPONSE FILED ON JANUARY 2, 2009 TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION MAILED OCTOBER 2, 2008

This paper is responsive to the Non-Final Office Action mailed October 2, 2008, having a shortened-statutory period for response set to expire on January 2, 2009. A response was filed on January 2, 2009, but this paper is a replacement to that response. Therefore, please disregard the response filed on January 2, 2009, and examine this replacement response instead. The claim amendments herein are based on ignoring the response filed January 2, 2009. The primary difference between the two responses are that the first one has whereby clauses in the independent claims and this one does not; instead, the independent claims have an additional wherein clause. A 1-month extension fee is submitted herewith for this replacement response filed today.

Amendments to the Claims begin on page 2.

Remarks begin on page 7.

Conclusion is on page 10.